# Planning, Taxi Licensing and Rights of Way Committee Report

**Application** 23/1238/FUL **Grid Ref**: E: 296278

N: 297693

Community Carno Community Council Valid Date: 16.08.2023

Council:

Applicant: Mr & Mrs Ceri & Edward Jerman-Jones & Jones

**Location:** Hendre Fach, Carno, Caersws, SY17 5JY.

Proposal: Erection of a rural enterprise dwelling, detached garage & change of use of

agricultural building to mixed use (agricultural & commercial) & associated works

**Application Type:** Full Application

#### REPORT UPDATE

This report forms an update to the previous report circulated to Members.

## Officer Appraisal

Further to the previous report circulated to members, an additional document has been submitted in support of the application on behalf of the applicants. This document relates to the reasons for refusal outlined in the initial committee report and will be addressed as follows:

### Functional Need

The document does not provide any new information in respect of the functional need of the enterprise. As such, it is still considered that insufficient information has been provided to establish a functional need for the enterprise to have a dwelling on site as per Officers previous report.

#### Time Test

This document does not provide any new information in respect of the time test contained within TAN 6. Whilst the document assures that calculations have been undertaken and that these conclude a full-time worker is required on site, no such calculations have been submitted. The document also implies that because the business employs more than one person, this is evidence that there is a need for more than one worker. However, the time test in TAN 6 looks further than this, and looks to determine how many workers are required on site in order to fulfil the functional need, which is formed by emergency situations which require a worker on site outside of normal working hours.

In this case, no functional need has been identified, however if it had been, there is still insufficient information with regard to the time test.

#### Financial Test

The additional document reminds that accounts are often undertaken for tax purposes and not planning purposes, and that this should be taken into consideration. Officers have noted these comments which have been taken into consideration during the writing of the report.

No additional information has been provided to give context, or explanations in relation to the accounts, however. Whilst the document assures that appropriate wages could have been paid to both full time employees and that the business would have remained profitable, this does not answer any of the concerns raised in respect of the two part-time workers, and how much they should have been paid in wages. It also does not give any assurance that if all four workers had received an appropriate wage, that the business would remain profitable.

## - Other Dwelling Test

The additional document does now consider the other dwelling test. This shows that a search of properties for sale within 3 miles of the site has been undertaken, and that there were 3 search results. Two of these are plots of land, whilst the third is a dwelling, which is located 1.2 miles away. The additional document does not consider properties for rent, or conversion, closer to the site.

Whilst the document does state that the applicant's do not consider that the dwelling available for sale meets the business's needs, the LPA contend that there is no functional need for a dwelling on site in this instance. As such, it is considered that this dwelling likely would meet their needs, in the same way as the applicant's current dwelling meets the needs.

## - Size and Scale of Dwelling

The document states that this concern has not been raised during the processing of the applications, however, it was raised during the previous application. This document also states that the applicants are willing to reduce the dwelling to 130 square metres if required. No plans for this have however been forthcoming, and it is not therefore for consideration.

It is also noted that whilst a reduction in the scale of the dwelling would be welcomed, in this instance the principle of the development has not been met with and therefore the above holds no weight in the overall recommendation previously made by Officers.

## Conclusion

In light of the above, the concerns raised in the initial report still remain, and the recommendation remains one of refusal, for the below reasons as outlined within the Officers report:

- 1. Insufficient information has been submitted to support the principle of a rural enterprise dwelling in the open countryside, in terms of the functional need test, time test, financial test, and other dwelling test. As such the proposal fails to accord with policies SP6, H1, H6 of the Powys Local Development Plan (2018), Technical Advice Note 6 Planning for Sustainable Rural Communities (2006), Planning Policy Wales (12th Edition, 2024).
- 2. The size and scale of the dwelling and garage as submitted does not accord with the requirements of the enterprise, and would be prohibitive of its use as an affordable dwelling. As such the proposal fails to accord with Technical Advice Note (TAN) 6 Planning for Sustainable Rural Communities (2006), Planning Policy Wales (12th Edition, 2024), policies SP3, H1, and DM13 of the Powys Local Development Plan (2018), and the adopted Powys Affordable Housing Supplementary Planning Guidance (2018).

Case Officer: Phian Criffithe, Senier Planning Officer

Case Officer: Rhian Griffiths, Senior Planning Officer Tel: 01597 827352 E-mail: rhian.griffiths@powys.gov.uk